## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

Criminal File No. 3:96-114 (MJD/JGL)

(1) WILLIAM CHARLES PUGH,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_

Mary Trippler, Assistant United States Attorney, Counsel for Plaintiff.

Rachel C. Delich, Larkin, Hoffman, Daly & Lindgren, Ltd., Counsel for Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

The above-entitled matter comes before the Court upon Defendant William Pugh's Appeal of the Order of Chief United States Magistrate Judge Jonathan Lebedoff dated August 18, 2005. This Court will reverse a magistrate judge's order on a nondispositive issue only if it is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); D. Minn. L.R. 72.1(b)(2).

The Court has reviewed the applicable law and Defendant's objections and finds that the Chief Magistrate Judge correctly applied the law and that the Order is not clearly erroneous. Based on this Court's review of the record, the Court **AFFIRMS** the Chief Magistrate Judge's Order dated August 18, 2005.

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

Chief Magistrate Judge Jonathan Lebedoff's Order dated August 18, 2005, [Docket No. 300] is **AFFIRMED**.

Dated: September 26, 2005 s/ Michael J. Davis

Judge Michael J. Davis United States District Court